

THE TRUTH ABOUT **MIGRATION & ADAPTATION**



Introduction

Mountain ecosystems are highly fragile and the livelihoods of mountain people and those of downstream communities are extremely vulnerable to various changes, including global environmental changes.

Migration has traditionally offered people the opportunity to escape socioeconomic and other pressures in their areas of origin and to diversify livelihoods and cope with risks.

Despite a growing consensus that migration itself serves as an adaptation strategy, it is still perceived as a challenge to development and the policy narrative focuses on reducing migration.



Data Collection Quantitative Interview/Focus Descriptive Quantitative Literature (causal) Analysis Group Discussion Analysis Review Survey Data Anlysis RESULTS THE TRUTH ABOUT MIGRATION IN **MIGRATION AND ADAPTATION: RESPONSE OR RECOMMENDATION: MOVING FROM ENVIRONMENTALLY VULNERABLE AREAS OF HKH AN ADAPTATION STRATEGY? RESPONSE TO ADAPTATION** Drivers of migration Low remittances, but it helps spatially

44% Employment 30% Education

7% Women are joining due to improved education

Mostly young married men move

Migration is higher in the mountains compared to plains

OVO Internal migration predominates

Environmental drivers have weak attribution

per day ber household diversify household income

Labour migration has positive effect on household adaptive capacities, but - statistically siginifcant for agriculture sector

External support matters for better adaptation – climate information, membership in community groups, and access to non-government stakeholders

Adaptation measure are mostly autonomous, with short-term benefit but detrimental long-term effects

Policy needs to view migration as a potential adaptation strategy instead of as a barrier

Enhancement of labour migration outcomes and institutional support for individual adaptation efforts - with the potential for upscaling in a gender-inclusive manner – can improve the quality of, and benefits from, labour migration

Migration and agriculture linkages in areas of origin





Agricultural land abandonment in the mountains (>2000 ha per district). In plains forest land converted to agriculture land and built environment

International migration may not be responsible for agricultural land abandonment

Geospatial analysis (using Landsat data on LULC 1990-2010)

Interdisciplinary approach to understand the complex nexus



Qualitative analysis (FGDs and interviews to validate the findings and understanding local nuances)

Quantitative analysis (Logistic regression analysis using secondary population census and weather data)

Where people move?

Who moves?

Human capital

Natural capital

Changing climate

If the percentage of female internal out-migrants is increased by 1%, there is a 37% higher chance of agricultural land contraction.

Contraction of agricultural land likely to increase by 18% if 1% of population has higher qualifications

32 times higher chance of agricultural land contraction in mountain areas compared to plains

Erratic precipitation has positive influece on agriculture land contraction; increases risk of landslides; drying up of springs also a major challenge

Consortium members ICIMOD WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH